

A Guide to the Use of the ITS Irregular Terrain Model in the Area Prediction Mode

**G.A. Hufford
A.G. Longley
W.A. Kissick**



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary**

Bernard J. Wunder, Jr., Assistant Secretary
for Communications and Information

April 1982

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF FIGURES.....	iii
LIST OF TABLES.....	iv
ABSTRACT.....	1
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. AREA PREDICTION MODELS.....	3
3. THE ITS MODEL FOR THE MID-RANGE FREQUENCIES.....	5
3.1 Input Parameters.....	6
3.2 General Description.....	10
4. DEVELOPMENT OF THE MODEL.....	14
5. DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF INPUT PARAMETERS.....	17
5.1 Atmospheric Parameters.....	18
5.2 Terrain Parameters.....	20
5.3 Other Input Parameters.....	22
6. STATISTICS AND VARIABILITY.....	26
6.1 The Three Dimensions of Variability.....	28
6.2 A Model of Variability.....	31
6.3 Reliability and Confidence.....	35
6.4 Second Order Statistics.....	37
7. SAMPLE PROBLEMS.....	38
7.1 The Operating Range of a Mobile-to-Mobile System.....	39
7.2 Optimum Television Station Separation.....	42
7.3 Comparison with Data.....	51
8. REFERENCES.....	66
APPENDIX A: LRPROP AND AVAR--AN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ITS MODEL FOR MID-RANGE FREQUENCIES.....	69
APPENDIX B: QKAREA--AN APPLICATIONS PROGRAM.....	101

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1.	A typical plot of reference attenuation versus distance..... 11
Figure 2.	Minimum monthly mean values of surface refractivity referred to mean sea level..... 19
Figure 3.	Contours of the terrain irregularity parameter Δh in meters. The derivation assumed random paths and homogenous terrain in 50 km blocks. Allowances should be made for other conditions..... 21
Figure 4.	The reference attenuation versus Δh for selected distances.. 23
Figure 5.	Output from a run of QKAREA concerning a mobile-to-mobile system..... 41
Figure 6.	A triangular grid of cochannel television stations showing the arrangement of the three offset frequencies..... 45
Figure 7.	Fraction of the country receiving an interference-free signal versus the station separation. We have assumed transmitting antennas 300 m high and average terrain characteristics..... 50
Figure 8.	The R3 data at 410 MHz; 44 points..... 52
Figure 9.	Predicted and observed values of attenuation for the R3 data. Assumed parameters: $f=410$ MHz, $h_{g1}=275$ m, $h_{g2}=6.6$ ro, $\Delta h=126$ m, $N_s=250$ N-units..... 55
Figure 10.	Predicted and observed curves of observational variability for the R3 data..... 57
Figure 11.	Predicted and observed medians for the R3 data. The bars indicate confidence levels for the sample medians at approximately 10% and 90%..... 59
Figure 12.	Predicted and observed values of attenuation versus distance for the R3 data. The predictions assumed the transmitters were sited very carefully..... 61
Figure 13.	The sample cumulative distribution of deviations. As indicated in the text, this is a misleading plot..... 62
Figure 14.	The sample cumulative distribution of deviations assuming the data are censored when $A \leq 0.5$ dB..... 64

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1. Input Parameters for the ITS Model Together With the Original Design Limits.....	7
Table 2. Suggested Values for the Terrain Irregularity Parameter.....	8
Table 3. Suggested Values for the Electrical Ground Constants.....	9
Table 4. Radio Climates and Suggested Values for N_s	9
Table 5. Design Parameters for a Symmetric Mobile-to-Mobile System.....	40
Table 6. Operational Ranges Under Average Environmental Conditions.....	42
Table 7. Design Parameters for a Grid of Channel 10 Television Stations.	44